# Gorenstein Dedekind Domains

#### Hwankoo Kim

Hoseo University, Korea, hkkim@hoseo.edu

### Conference on Rings and Polynomials July 14 – 19, 2025 TU Graz, Graz, Austria

A (B) > A (B) > A (B) >

# **Presentation Overview**

1 Introduction

2 Main results

イロト イヨト イヨト

æ

• 1969: Auslander and Bridger develop the theory of stable module categories and introduce G-dimension<sup>a</sup>.

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Auslander, M.; Bridger, M.: Stable module theory, Mem. Am. Math. Soc. 94, 146 p. (1969). <sup>b</sup>Enochs, Edgar E.; Jenda, Overtoun M. G.; Torrecillas, B.: Gorenstein flat modules, J. Nanjing Univ., Math. Biq. 10, No. 1, 1-9 (1993).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup>Enochs, Edgar E.; Jenda, Overtoun M. G.: Gorenstein injective and projective modules, Math. Z. 220, No. 4, 611-633 (1995).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup>Mahdou, N.; Tamekkante, M.: On (strongly) Gorenstein (semi)hereditary rings, Arab. J. Sci. Eng. 36, No. 3, 431-440 (2011).

- 1969: Auslander and Bridger develop the theory of stable module categories and introduce G-dimension<sup>a</sup>.
- 1993: Gorenstein flat modules are introduced by Enochs, Jenda, and Torrecillas<sup>b</sup>.

<sup>c</sup>Enochs, Edgar E.; Jenda, Overtoun M. G.: Gorenstein injective and projective modules, Math. Z. 220, No. 4, 611-633 (1995).

<sup>d</sup>Mahdou, N.; Tamekkante, M.: On (strongly) Gorenstein (semi)hereditary rings, Arab. J. Sci. Eng. 36, No. 3, 431-440 (2011).

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Auslander, M.; Bridger, M.: Stable module theory, Mem. Am. Math. Soc. 94, 146 p. (1969).
 <sup>b</sup>Enochs, Edgar E.; Jenda, Overtoun M. G.; Torrecillas, B.: Gorenstein flat modules, J. Nanjing Univ., Math. Biq. 10, No. 1, 1-9 (1993).

- 1969: Auslander and Bridger develop the theory of stable module categories and introduce G-dimension<sup>a</sup>.
- 1993: Gorenstein flat modules are introduced by Enochs, Jenda, and Torrecillas<sup>b</sup>.
- 1995: Enochs and Jenda define Gorenstein projective (and injective) modules<sup>c</sup>.

<sup>a</sup>Auslander, M.; Bridger, M.: Stable module theory, Mem. Am. Math. Soc. 94, 146 p. (1969). <sup>b</sup>Enochs, Edgar E.; Jenda, Overtoun M. G.; Torrecillas, B.: Gorenstein flat modules, J. Nanjing Univ., Math. Biq. 10, No. 1, 1-9 (1993).

<sup>c</sup>Enochs, Edgar E.; Jenda, Overtoun M. G.: Gorenstein injective and projective modules, Math. Z. 220, No. 4, 611-633 (1995).

<sup>d</sup>Mahdou, N.; Tamekkante, M.: On (strongly) Gorenstein (semi)hereditary rings, Arab. J. Sci. Eng. 36, No. 3, 431-440 (2011).

・ロン ・回 ・ ・ ヨン

- 1969: Auslander and Bridger develop the theory of stable module categories and introduce G-dimension<sup>a</sup>.
- 1993: Gorenstein flat modules are introduced by Enochs, Jenda, and Torrecillas<sup>b</sup>.
- 1995: Enochs and Jenda define Gorenstein projective (and injective) modules<sup>c</sup>.
- 2011: Mahdou and Tamekkante introduced (strongly) Gorenstein (semi)hereditary rings<sup>d</sup>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Auslander, M.; Bridger, M.: Stable module theory, Mem. Am. Math. Soc. 94, 146 p. (1969). <sup>b</sup>Enochs, Edgar E.; Jenda, Overtoun M. G.; Torrecillas, B.: Gorenstein flat modules, J. Nanjing Univ., Math. Biq. 10, No. 1, 1-9 (1993).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup>Enochs, Edgar E.; Jenda, Overtoun M. G.: Gorenstein injective and projective modules, Math. Z. 220, No. 4, 611-633 (1995).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup>Mahdou, N.; Tamekkante, M.: On (strongly) Gorenstein (semi)hereditary rings, Arab. J. Sci. Eng. 36, No. 3, 431-440 (2011).

- 1969: Auslander and Bridger develop the theory of stable module categories and introduce G-dimension<sup>a</sup>.
- 1993: Gorenstein flat modules are introduced by Enochs, Jenda, and Torrecillas<sup>b</sup>.
- 1995: Enochs and Jenda define Gorenstein projective (and injective) modules<sup>c</sup>.
- 2011: Mahdou and Tamekkante introduced (strongly) Gorenstein (semi)hereditary rings<sup>d</sup>.
- 2000s-present: Rapid development of Gorenstein homological dimensions, Gorenstein categories, and their applications.

<sup>a</sup>Auslander, M.; Bridger, M.: Stable module theory, Mem. Am. Math. Soc. 94, 146 p. (1969). <sup>b</sup>Enochs, Edgar E.; Jenda, Overtoun M. G.; Torrecillas, B.: Gorenstein flat modules, J. Nanjing Univ., Math. Biq. 10, No. 1, 1-9 (1993).

<sup>c</sup>Enochs, Edgar E.; Jenda, Overtoun M. G.: Gorenstein injective and projective modules, Math. Z. 220, No. 4, 611-633 (1995).

<sup>d</sup>Mahdou, N.; Tamekkante, M.: On (strongly) Gorenstein (semi)hereditary rings, Arab. J. Sci. Eng. 36, No. 3, 431-440 (2011).

[Holm's thesis] Every result in classical homological algebra has a counterpart in Gorenstein homological algebra.

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

[Holm's thesis] Every result in classical homological algebra has a counterpart in Gorenstein homological algebra.

Multiplicative Ideal Theory	Gorenstein MIT
Dedekind Domain	Gorenstein Dedekind Domain
Krull Domain	Gorenstein Krull Domain
Property P	Gorenstein Property P

Table: Comparison

wan	

→ ∃ →

Image: A math a math

[Holm's thesis] Every result in classical homological algebra has a counterpart in Gorenstein homological algebra.

Multiplicative Ideal Theory	Gorenstein MIT
Dedekind Domain	Gorenstein Dedekind Domain
Krull Domain	Gorenstein Krull Domain
Property P	Gorenstein Property P

Table: Comparison

- (WK) F. Wang and H. Kim, Foundations of Commutative Rings and Their Modules, 2nd ed., Springer, 2024.
- (KMX) H. Kim, N. Mahdou, and S. Xing, Gorenstein Homological Algebra, in preparration.
- (3) (ZKH) D. Zhou, H. Kim, K. Hu, Homological characterizations of G-Krull domains and G-Dedekind domains, submitted.
- (CHKQW) M. Chen, K. Hu, H. Kim, X. Qu, F. Wang, A group action on Gorenstein projective modules and its application, submitted.

Let *R* be a ring. An *R*-module *M* is called Gorenstein projective (G-projective for short) if there exists an exact complex of projective *R*-modules

$$\mathbf{P}=\cdots 
ightarrow P_{1}
ightarrow P_{0}
ightarrow P^{-1}
ightarrow P^{-2}
ightarrow \cdots$$

such that  $M = \ker(P_0 \to P^{-1})$  and such that  $\operatorname{Hom}_B(\mathbf{P}, Q)$  is exact for every projective *R*-module *Q*. The complex **P** is called a complete projective resolution of *M*.

Let *R* be a ring. An *R*-module *M* is called Gorenstein projective (G-projective for short) if there exists an exact complex of projective *R*-modules

$$\mathbf{P}=\cdots 
ightarrow P_{1}
ightarrow P_{0}
ightarrow P^{-1}
ightarrow P^{-2}
ightarrow \cdots$$

such that  $M = \ker(P_0 \to P^{-1})$  and such that  $\operatorname{Hom}_B(\mathbf{P}, Q)$  is exact for every projective *R*-module *Q*. The complex **P** is called a complete projective resolution of *M*.

Let *R* be a ring. An *R*-module *M* is called Gorenstein projective (G-projective for short) if there exists an exact complex of projective *R*-modules

$$\mathbf{P}=\cdots 
ightarrow P_{1}
ightarrow P_{0}
ightarrow P^{-1}
ightarrow P^{-2}
ightarrow \cdots$$

such that  $M = \ker(P_0 \to P^{-1})$  and such that  $\operatorname{Hom}_B(\mathbf{P}, Q)$  is exact for every projective *R*-module *Q*. The complex **P** is called a complete projective resolution of *M*.

Let *R* be a ring. An *R*-module *M* is called Gorenstein projective (G-projective for short) if there exists an exact complex of projective *R*-modules

 $\mathbf{P} = \cdots \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow P^{-1} \rightarrow P^{-2} \rightarrow \cdots$ 

such that  $M = \ker(P_0 \to P^{-1})$  and such that  $\operatorname{Hom}_B(\mathbf{P}, Q)$  is exact for every projective *R*-module *Q*. The complex **P** is called a complete projective resolution of *M*.

## Definition (Gorenstein projective dimension)

Let *R* be a ring and *M* an *R*-module. The Gorenstein projective dimension of *M*, denoted by  $G_{Pd_R}(M)$ , is defined as the least non-negative integer *n* such that there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow G_n \rightarrow G_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow G_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

where each  $G_i$  is a Gorenstein projective *R*-module. If no such finite *n* exists, we say that  $G_{Pd}(M) = \infty$ .

ヘロト ヘヨト ヘヨト ヘヨト

Let R be a ring. An R-module M is said to be Gorenstein injective (G-injective for short) if there exists an exact complex of injective R-modules

 $\mathbf{I} = \cdots \rightarrow I_1 \rightarrow I_0 \rightarrow I^{-1} \rightarrow I^{-2} \rightarrow \cdots$ 

such that  $M = \ker(I_0 \to I^{-1})$  and such that  $\operatorname{Hom}_R(E, I)$  is exact for every injective *R*-module *E*. The complex I is called a complete injective resolution of *M*.

Let R be a ring. An R-module M is said to be Gorenstein injective (G-injective for short) if there exists an exact complex of injective R-modules

 $\mathbf{I} = \cdots \rightarrow I_1 \rightarrow I_0 \rightarrow I^{-1} \rightarrow I^{-2} \rightarrow \cdots$ 

such that  $M = \ker(I_0 \to I^{-1})$  and such that  $\operatorname{Hom}_R(E, I)$  is exact for every injective *R*-module *E*. The complex I is called a complete injective resolution of *M*.

## Definition (Gorenstein injective dimension)

Let *R* be a ring and *M* an *R*-module. The Gorenstein injective dimension of *M*, denoted by G-id<sub>*R*</sub>(*M*), is defined as the least non-negative integer *n* such that there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow G^0 \rightarrow G^1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow G^n \rightarrow 0$$

where each  $G^i$  is a Gorenstein injective *R*-module. If no such finite *n* exists, we say that  $G_{-id_R}(M) = \infty$ .

< □ > < @ >

Definition (Gorenstein global dimension)

The global Gorenstein dimension of a ring R, denoted by G-gl.dim(R), is defined as

 $\begin{array}{rcl} \mathrm{G}\text{-gl.dim}(R) & := & \sup\{\mathrm{G}\text{-pd}_R(M) \mid M \text{ is an } R\text{-module}\}\\ & = & \sup\{\mathrm{G}\text{-id}_R(M) \mid M \text{ is an } R\text{-module}\}. \end{array}$ 

Definition (Gorenstein global dimension)

The global Gorenstein dimension of a ring R, denoted by G-gl.dim(R), is defined as

 $\begin{array}{rcl} \mathrm{G}\text{-gl.dim}(R) & := & \sup\{\mathrm{G}\text{-pd}_R(M) \mid M \text{ is an } R\text{-module}\}\\ & = & \sup\{\mathrm{G}\text{-id}_R(M) \mid M \text{ is an } R\text{-module}\}. \end{array}$ 

### Definition (Gorenstein flat modules)

Let *R* be a ring. An *R*-module *M* is called Gorenstein flat (G-flat for short) if there exists an exact complex of flat *R*-modules

$$\mathbf{F} = \cdots \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow F^{-1} \rightarrow F^{-2} \rightarrow \cdots$$

such that  $M = \ker(F_0 \to F^{-1})$  and for every injective right *R*-module *I*, the complex  $I \otimes_R \mathbf{F}$  is exact. The complex  $\mathbf{F}$  is called a complete flat resolution of *M*.

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ ヨト

<sup>a</sup> A ring *R* is called Gorenstein hereditary (*G*-hereditary for short) if every submodule of a projective module is Gorenstein projective (i.e., G-gl.dim(R)  $\leq$  1). If *R* is a *G*-hereditary domain, it is called Gorenstein Dedekind (*G*-Dedekind for short).

<sup>a</sup>Mahdou, N., Tamekkante, M.: On (strongly) Gorenstein (semi)hereditary rings. Arab J. Sci. Eng. 36, 431–440 (2011).

<sup>a</sup> A ring *R* is called Gorenstein hereditary (*G*-hereditary for short) if every submodule of a projective module is Gorenstein projective (i.e., G-gl.dim(R)  $\leq$  1). If *R* is a *G*-hereditary domain, it is called Gorenstein Dedekind (*G*-Dedekind for short).

<sup>a</sup>Mahdou, N., Tamekkante, M.: On (strongly) Gorenstein (semi)hereditary rings. Arab J. Sci. Eng. 36, 431–440 (2011).

### Theorem

The following are equivalent for a ring R.

1 R is a G-hereditary ring.

<sup>a</sup> A ring *R* is called Gorenstein hereditary (*G*-hereditary for short) if every submodule of a projective module is Gorenstein projective (i.e., G-gl.dim(R)  $\leq$  1). If *R* is a *G*-hereditary domain, it is called Gorenstein Dedekind (*G*-Dedekind for short).

<sup>a</sup>Mahdou, N., Tamekkante, M.: On (strongly) Gorenstein (semi)hereditary rings. Arab J. Sci. Eng. 36, 431–440 (2011).

### Theorem

The following are equivalent for a ring R.

- 1 R is a G-hereditary ring.
- 2 Every factor module of a G-injective module is G-injective.

・ロン ・回 と ・ ヨ と ・

<sup>a</sup> A ring *R* is called Gorenstein hereditary (*G*-hereditary for short) if every submodule of a projective module is Gorenstein projective (i.e., G-gl.dim(R)  $\leq$  1). If *R* is a *G*-hereditary domain, it is called Gorenstein Dedekind (*G*-Dedekind for short).

<sup>a</sup>Mahdou, N., Tamekkante, M.: On (strongly) Gorenstein (semi)hereditary rings. Arab J. Sci. Eng. 36, 431–440 (2011).

### Theorem

The following are equivalent for a ring R.

- 1 R is a G-hereditary ring.
- 2 Every factor module of a G-injective module is G-injective.
- 3 Every factor module of an injective module is G-injective.

・ロン ・回 と ・ ヨ と ・

**1** Let *R* be an integral domain with quotient field *K*. For *I* ∈  $\mathcal{F}(R)$ , define  $I^{-1} := \{x \in K \mid xI \subseteq R\}$  and  $I_v := (I^{-1})^{-1}$ . Then the map *v* from  $\mathcal{F}(R)$  to  $\mathcal{F}(R)$ , given by  $A \mapsto A_v$  for any  $A \in \mathcal{F}(R)$ , is a star operation, which is called the *v*-operation on *R*.

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

- **1** Let *R* be an integral domain with quotient field *K*. For *I* ∈  $\mathcal{F}(R)$ , define  $I^{-1} := \{x \in K \mid xI \subseteq R\}$  and  $I_v := (I^{-1})^{-1}$ . Then the map *v* from  $\mathcal{F}(R)$  to  $\mathcal{F}(R)$ , given by  $A \mapsto A_v$  for any  $A \in \mathcal{F}(R)$ , is a star operation, which is called the *v*-operation on *R*.
- 2 An ideal *I* of *R* is called a *v*-ideal (or divisorial ideal) if  $I = I_v$ .

・ロト ・同ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

- **1** Let *R* be an integral domain with quotient field *K*. For *I* ∈  $\mathcal{F}(R)$ , define  $I^{-1} := \{x \in K \mid xI \subseteq R\}$  and  $I_v := (I^{-1})^{-1}$ . Then the map *v* from  $\mathcal{F}(R)$  to  $\mathcal{F}(R)$ , given by  $A \mapsto A_v$  for any  $A \in \mathcal{F}(R)$ , is a star operation, which is called the *v*-operation on *R*.
- 2 An ideal *I* of *R* is called a *v*-ideal (or divisorial ideal) if  $I = I_v$ .
- 3 A domain *R* is called a divisorial domain if every nonzero ideal of *R* is a divisorial.

・ロト ・同ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

- **1** Let *R* be an integral domain with quotient field *K*. For *I* ∈  $\mathcal{F}(R)$ , define  $I^{-1} := \{x \in K \mid xI \subseteq R\}$  and  $I_v := (I^{-1})^{-1}$ . Then the map *v* from  $\mathcal{F}(R)$  to  $\mathcal{F}(R)$ , given by  $A \mapsto A_v$  for any  $A \in \mathcal{F}(R)$ , is a star operation, which is called the *v*-operation on *R*.
- 2 An ideal *I* of *R* is called a *v*-ideal (or divisorial ideal) if  $I = I_v$ .
- 3 A domain *R* is called a divisorial domain if every nonzero ideal of *R* is a divisorial.
- A ring R is called Gorenstein semihereditary (G-semihereditary for short) if it is coherent and every submodule of a flat R-module is G-flat. An integral domain R is said to be Gorenstein Prüfer (G-Prüfer) if R is G-semihereditary.

- **1** Let *R* be an integral domain with quotient field *K*. For *I* ∈  $\mathcal{F}(R)$ , define  $I^{-1} := \{x \in K \mid xI \subseteq R\}$  and  $I_v := (I^{-1})^{-1}$ . Then the map *v* from  $\mathcal{F}(R)$  to  $\mathcal{F}(R)$ , given by  $A \mapsto A_v$  for any  $A \in \mathcal{F}(R)$ , is a star operation, which is called the *v*-operation on *R*.
- 2 An ideal *I* of *R* is called a *v*-ideal (or divisorial ideal) if  $I = I_v$ .
- 3 A domain *R* is called a divisorial domain if every nonzero ideal of *R* is a divisorial.
- A ring R is called Gorenstein semihereditary (G-semihereditary for short) if it is coherent and every submodule of a flat R-module is G-flat. An integral domain R is said to be Gorenstein Prüfer (G-Prüfer) if R is G-semihereditary.
- 6 A ring R is a QF(quasi-Frobenius) ring if R is self-injective Noetherian, equivalently G-gl.dim(R) = 0.

・ロン ・回 と ・ ヨ と ・

- **1** Let *R* be an integral domain with quotient field *K*. For *I* ∈  $\mathcal{F}(R)$ , define  $I^{-1} := \{x \in K \mid xI \subseteq R\}$  and  $I_v := (I^{-1})^{-1}$ . Then the map *v* from  $\mathcal{F}(R)$  to  $\mathcal{F}(R)$ , given by  $A \mapsto A_v$  for any  $A \in \mathcal{F}(R)$ , is a star operation, which is called the *v*-operation on *R*.
- 2 An ideal *I* of *R* is called a *v*-ideal (or divisorial ideal) if  $I = I_v$ .
- 3 A domain *R* is called a divisorial domain if every nonzero ideal of *R* is a divisorial.
- A ring *R* is called Gorenstein semihereditary (G-semihereditary for short) if it is coherent and every submodule of a flat *R*-module is G-flat. An integral domain *R* is said to be Gorenstein Prüfer (G-Prüfer) if *R* is G-semihereditary.
- 6 A ring R is a QF(quasi-Frobenius) ring if R is self-injective Noetherian, equivalently G-gl.dim(R) = 0.

6 A Noetherian domain is said to be a 1-Gorenstein domain if its self-injective dimension is at most 1.

・ロッ ・雪 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・

The following statements are equivalent for an integral domain R:

1 R is a G-Dedekind domain.

Hwankoo Kim

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

æ

The following statements are equivalent for an integral domain R:

- 1 R is a G-Dedekind domain.
- 2 Every submodule of a projective R-module is G-projective.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

э

The following statements are equivalent for an integral domain R:

- 1 R is a G-Dedekind domain.
- 2 Every submodule of a projective R-module is G-projective.
- **3** Every ideal of R is G-projective.

• □ ▶ • □ ▶ • □ ▶

The following statements are equivalent for an integral domain R:

- 1 R is a G-Dedekind domain.
- 2 Every submodule of a projective R-module is G-projective.
- **3** Every ideal of R is G-projective.
- 4 Every prime ideal of R is G-projective

Image: Image:

- 1 R is a G-Dedekind domain.
- 2 Every submodule of a projective R-module is G-projective.
- 3 Every ideal of R is G-projective.
- 4 Every prime ideal of R is G-projective
- 5 R is a Noetherian divisorial domain.

- 1 R is a G-Dedekind domain.
- 2 Every submodule of a projective R-module is G-projective.
- 3 Every ideal of R is G-projective.
- 4 Every prime ideal of R is G-projective
- 5 R is a Noetherian divisorial domain.
- 6 R is a Noetherian G-Prüfer domain.

- 1 R is a G-Dedekind domain.
- 2 Every submodule of a projective R-module is G-projective.
- 3 Every ideal of R is G-projective.
- 4 Every prime ideal of R is G-projective
- 5 R is a Noetherian divisorial domain.
- 6 R is a Noetherian G-Prüfer domain.
- 7 R/(u) is a QF-ring for any nonzero nonunit  $u \in R$ .

- 1 R is a G-Dedekind domain.
- 2 Every submodule of a projective R-module is G-projective.
- 3 Every ideal of R is G-projective.
- 4 Every prime ideal of R is G-projective
- 5 R is a Noetherian divisorial domain.
- 6 R is a Noetherian G-Prüfer domain.
- 7 R/(u) is a QF-ring for any nonzero nonunit  $u \in R$ .
- 8 R is a 1-Gorenstein domain.

The following statements are equivalent for an integral domain R:

- 1 R is a G-Dedekind domain.
- 2 Every submodule of a projective R-module is G-projective.
- 3 Every ideal of R is G-projective.
- 4 Every prime ideal of R is G-projective
- 5 R is a Noetherian divisorial domain.
- 6 R is a Noetherian G-Prüfer domain.
- 7 R/(u) is a QF-ring for any nonzero nonunit  $u \in R$ .
- 8 R is a 1-Gorenstein domain.
- **9** *R* is Noetherian and  $R_P$  is G-Dedekind for every  $P \in Max(R)$ .

The following statements are equivalent for an integral domain R:

- 1 R is a G-Dedekind domain.
- 2 Every submodule of a projective R-module is G-projective.
- 3 Every ideal of R is G-projective.
- 4 Every prime ideal of R is G-projective
- 5 R is a Noetherian divisorial domain.
- 6 R is a Noetherian G-Prüfer domain.
- 7 R/(u) is a QF-ring for any nonzero nonunit  $u \in R$ .
- 8 R is a 1-Gorenstein domain.
- **9** *R* is Noetherian and  $R_P$  is G-Dedekind for every  $P \in Max(R)$ .

### Corollary

If *R* is a G-Dedekind domain, then  $\dim(R) \leq 1$ .

wa		

The following statements are equivalent for an integral domain R:

- 1 R is a G-Dedekind domain.
- 2 Every submodule of a projective R-module is G-projective.
- 3 Every ideal of R is G-projective.
- 4 Every prime ideal of R is G-projective
- 5 R is a Noetherian divisorial domain.
- 6 R is a Noetherian G-Prüfer domain.
- 7 R/(u) is a QF-ring for any nonzero nonunit  $u \in R$ .
- 8 R is a 1-Gorenstein domain.
- **9** *R* is Noetherian and  $R_P$  is G-Dedekind for every  $P \in Max(R)$ .

## Corollary

If *R* is a G-Dedekind domain, then  $\dim(R) \leq 1$ .

## Theorem

A domain is Dedekind if and only if it is integrally closed G-Dedekind.

Hwankoo Kim

### Theorem (Hu, Wang, Xu, Zhao)

Let *R* be a one-dimensional Noetherian domain with quotient field *K* and integral closure  $\overline{R}$ . Then *R* is a *G*-Dedekind domain if and only if every prime ideal *P* of *R* containing ( $R :_{\kappa} \overline{R}$ ) is *G*-projective.

(D) < ((()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) <

## Theorem (Hu, Wang, Xu, Zhao)

Let *R* be a one-dimensional Noetherian domain with quotient field *K* and integral closure  $\overline{R}$ . Then *R* is a *G*-Dedekind domain if and only if every prime ideal *P* of *R* containing ( $R :_{\kappa} \overline{R}$ ) is *G*-projective.

## Lemma (Hu and Wang)

Let R be a domain with gl. dim $(R) = n < \infty$ , and let  $u \in R$  be a nonzero nonunit. Then

G-gldim $(R/uR) \leq n-1$ .

	Kim

イロン イヨン イヨン

## Theorem (Hu, Wang, Xu, Zhao)

Let *R* be a one-dimensional Noetherian domain with quotient field *K* and integral closure  $\overline{R}$ . Then *R* is a *G*-Dedekind domain if and only if every prime ideal *P* of *R* containing ( $R :_{\kappa} \overline{R}$ ) is *G*-projective.

## Lemma (Hu and Wang)

Let R be a domain with gl. dim $(R) = n < \infty$ , and let  $u \in R$  be a nonzero nonunit. Then

G-gldim $(R/uR) \leq n-1$ .

## Example (Hu, Wang, Xu, Zhao)

Let  $D = \mathbb{Q}[y, z]$ , where y and z are two indeterminates, and let  $\mathbb{Q}$  denote the field of rational numbers. Then the ring

$$R = \mathbb{Q}[X^3, X^4] \cong D/(y^4 - z^3)$$

is a G-Dedekind domain. To verify this, observe that gl. dim(D) = 2 and R is not a QF-ring. Then, by applying the above lemma, the result follows. However, the ring  $\mathbb{Q} + X^3 \mathbb{Q}[X]$  is an overring of R that is not G-Dedekind.

1 Denote by  $\mathcal{F}_n$  the class of *R*-modules with flat dimension at most a fixed nonnegative integer *n*.

イロト イヨト イヨト

э

1 Denote by  $\mathcal{F}_n$  the class of *R*-modules with flat dimension at most a fixed nonnegative integer *n*.

2 An *R*-module *M* is called *n*-copure projective if  $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}_{R}(M, N) = 0$  for every *R*-module  $N \in \mathcal{F}_{n}$ .

A 0-copure projective module is simply called copure projective. The module *M* is said to be strongly copure projective if  $\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{i+1}(M, F) = 0$  for every flat *R*-module *F* and all  $i \ge 0$ .

**1** Denote by  $\mathcal{F}_n$  the class of *R*-modules with flat dimension at most a fixed nonnegative integer *n*.

2 An *R*-module *M* is called *n*-copure projective if  $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}_{R}(M, N) = 0$  for every *R*-module  $N \in \mathcal{F}_{n}$ .

A 0-copure projective module is simply called copure projective. The module *M* is said to be strongly copure projective if  $\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{i+1}(M, F) = 0$  for every flat *R*-module *F* and all  $i \ge 0$ .

**3** An *R*-module *M* is called copure injective if  $\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{1}(E, M) = 0$  for every injective *R*-module *E*.

- **1** Denote by  $\mathcal{F}_n$  the class of *R*-modules with flat dimension at most a fixed nonnegative integer *n*.
- 2 An *R*-module *M* is called *n*-copure projective if  $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}_{R}(M, N) = 0$  for every *R*-module  $N \in \mathcal{F}_{n}$ .

A 0-copure projective module is simply called copure projective. The module *M* is said to be strongly copure projective if  $\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{i+1}(M, F) = 0$  for every flat *R*-module *F* and all  $i \ge 0$ .

- **3** An *R*-module *M* is called copure injective if  $\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{1}(E, M) = 0$  for every injective *R*-module *E*.
- A ring *R* is called a CPH ring if every submodule of a copure projective module is copure projective.

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

- **1** Denote by  $\mathcal{F}_n$  the class of *R*-modules with flat dimension at most a fixed nonnegative integer *n*.
- 2 An *R*-module *M* is called *n*-copure projective if  $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}_{R}(M, N) = 0$  for every *R*-module  $N \in \mathcal{F}_{n}$ .

A 0-copure projective module is simply called copure projective. The module *M* is said to be strongly copure projective if  $\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{i+1}(M, F) = 0$  for every flat *R*-module *F* and all  $i \ge 0$ .

- **3** An *R*-module *M* is called copure injective if  $\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{1}(E, M) = 0$  for every injective *R*-module *E*.
- A ring *R* is called a CPH ring if every submodule of a copure projective module is copure projective.
- **6** A module *M* over a domain *R* is said to be divisible if rM = M for every nonzero  $r \in R$ .

(D) < ((()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) <

- **1** Denote by  $\mathcal{F}_n$  the class of *R*-modules with flat dimension at most a fixed nonnegative integer *n*.
- 2 An *R*-module *M* is called *n*-copure projective if  $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}_{R}(M, N) = 0$  for every *R*-module  $N \in \mathcal{F}_{n}$ .

A 0-copure projective module is simply called copure projective. The module *M* is said to be strongly copure projective if  $\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{i+1}(M, F) = 0$  for every flat *R*-module *F* and all  $i \ge 0$ .

- **3** An *R*-module *M* is called copure injective if  $\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{1}(E, M) = 0$  for every injective *R*-module *E*.
- A ring *R* is called a CPH ring if every submodule of a copure projective module is copure projective.
- **6** A module *M* over a domain *R* is said to be divisible if rM = M for every nonzero  $r \in R$ .
- 6 A module is said to be h-divisible if it is an epimorphic image of an injective module.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > .

<sup>a</sup> The following statements are equivalent for a Noetherian domain R: R is a CPH domain.

<sup>a</sup>Xiong, Rings of copure projective dimension one, J. Korean Math. Soc. 54, No. 2, 427-440 (2017).

4 E 5

Image: Image:

- <sup>a</sup> The following statements are equivalent for a Noetherian domain R: R is a CPH domain.
  - 2 Every prime ideal of R is copure projective.

<sup>a</sup>Xiong, Rings of copure projective dimension one, J. Korean Math. Soc. 54, No. 2, 427-440 (2017).

< 17 ▶

4 E. K.

- <sup>a</sup> The following statements are equivalent for a Noetherian domain R:
  - **1** *R* is a CPH domain.
  - 2 Every prime ideal of R is copure projective.
  - 3 Every finitely generated copure projective module is reflexive.

<sup>a</sup>Xiong, Rings of copure projective dimension one, J. Korean Math. Soc. 54, No. 2, 427-440 (2017).

▲ 同 ▶ ▲ 三 ▶

- <sup>a</sup> The following statements are equivalent for a Noetherian domain R:
  - 1 R is a CPH domain.
  - 2 Every prime ideal of R is copure projective.
  - **3** Every finitely generated copure projective module is reflexive.

<sup>a</sup>Xiong, Rings of copure projective dimension one, J. Korean Math. Soc. 54, No. 2, 427-440 (2017).

# Theorem (Xiong)

The following statements are equivalent for a integral domain R:

1 R is a G-Dedekind domain.

• □ ▶ • □ ▶ • □ ▶

- <sup>a</sup> The following statements are equivalent for a Noetherian domain R:
  - 1 R is a CPH domain.
  - 2 Every prime ideal of R is copure projective.
  - 3 Every finitely generated copure projective module is reflexive.

<sup>a</sup>Xiong, Rings of copure projective dimension one, J. Korean Math. Soc. 54, No. 2, 427-440 (2017).

# Theorem (Xiong)

The following statements are equivalent for a integral domain R:

- 1 R is a G-Dedekind domain.
- 2 R is a CPH domain.

• □ ▶ • □ ▶ • □ ▶

- <sup>a</sup> The following statements are equivalent for a Noetherian domain R:
  - 1 R is a CPH domain.
  - 2 Every prime ideal of R is copure projective.
  - 3 Every finitely generated copure projective module is reflexive.

 $^{\rm a} Xiong,$  Rings of copure projective dimension one, J. Korean Math. Soc. 54, No. 2, 427-440 (2017).

# Theorem (Xiong)

The following statements are equivalent for a integral domain R:

- 1 R is a G-Dedekind domain.
- 2 R is a CPH domain.
- S R is a Noetherian ring and for any maximal ideal m of R, R<sub>m</sub> is a CPH ring.

- <sup>a</sup> The following statements are equivalent for a Noetherian domain R:
  - **1** R is a CPH domain.
  - 2 Every prime ideal of R is copure projective.
  - 3 Every finitely generated copure projective module is reflexive.

<sup>a</sup>Xiong, Rings of copure projective dimension one, J. Korean Math. Soc. 54, No. 2, 427-440 (2017).

# Theorem (Xiong)

The following statements are equivalent for a integral domain R:

- 1 R is a G-Dedekind domain.
- 2 R is a CPH domain.
- 8 R is a Noetherian ring and for any maximal ideal m of R, R<sub>m</sub> is a CPH ring.
- 4 Every ideal of R is strongly copure projective.

<sup>a</sup> The following statements are equivalent for a integral domain R:

1 R is a G-Dedekind domain.

<sup>a</sup>T. Xiong, A characterization of Gorenstein Dedekind domains, Int. Electron. J. Algebra 22, 97-102 (2017).

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

<sup>a</sup> The following statements are equivalent for a integral domain R:

- 1 R is a G-Dedekind domain.
- 2 Every divisible module is copure injective.

<sup>a</sup>T. Xiong, A characterization of Gorenstein Dedekind domains, Int. Electron. J. Algebra 22, 97-102 (2017).

Let *R* be a commutative ring. Define

 $IPD(R) := \sup\{pd_R(M) \mid M \text{ is an injective } R\text{-module}\}.$ 

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

<sup>a</sup> The following statements are equivalent for a integral domain R:

- 1 R is a G-Dedekind domain.
- 2 Every divisible module is copure injective.
- 3 Every h-divisible module is copure injective.

Let R be a commutative ring. Define

 $IPD(R) := \sup\{pd_R(M) \mid M \text{ is an injective } R\text{-module}\}.$ 

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>T. Xiong, A characterization of Gorenstein Dedekind domains, Int. Electron. J. Algebra 22, 97-102 (2017).

<sup>a</sup> The following statements are equivalent for a integral domain R:

- 1 R is a G-Dedekind domain.
- 2 Every divisible module is copure injective.
- 3 Every h-divisible module is copure injective.
- 4 Every divisible module is G-injective.

Let R be a commutative ring. Define

 $IPD(R) := \sup\{pd_R(M) \mid M \text{ is an injective } R\text{-module}\}.$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>T. Xiong, A characterization of Gorenstein Dedekind domains, Int. Electron. J. Algebra 22, 97-102 (2017).

<sup>a</sup> The following statements are equivalent for a integral domain R:

- 1 R is a G-Dedekind domain.
- 2 Every divisible module is copure injective.
- 3 Every h-divisible module is copure injective.
- 4 Every divisible module is G-injective.
- 5 Every h-divisible module is G-injective.

<sup>a</sup>T. Xiong, A characterization of Gorenstein Dedekind domains, Int. Electron. J. Algebra 22, 97-102 (2017).

Let R be a commutative ring. Define

 $IPD(R) := \sup\{pd_R(M) \mid M \text{ is an injective } R\text{-module}\}.$ 

<sup>a</sup> The following statements are equivalent for a integral domain R:

- 1 R is a G-Dedekind domain.
- 2 Every divisible module is copure injective.
- 3 Every h-divisible module is copure injective.
- 4 Every divisible module is G-injective.
- 5 Every h-divisible module is G-injective.

<sup>a</sup>T. Xiong, A characterization of Gorenstein Dedekind domains, Int. Electron. J. Algebra 22, 97-102 (2017).

Let R be a commutative ring. Define

 $IPD(R) := \sup\{pd_R(M) \mid M \text{ is an injective } R\text{-module}\}.$ 

<sup>a</sup> The following statements are equivalent for a integral domain R:

- 1 R is a G-Dedekind domain.
- 2 Every divisible module is copure injective.
- 3 Every h-divisible module is copure injective.
- 4 Every divisible module is G-injective.
- 5 Every h-divisible module is G-injective.

<sup>a</sup>T. Xiong, A characterization of Gorenstein Dedekind domains, Int. Electron. J. Algebra 22, 97-102 (2017).

Let R be a commutative ring. Define

 $IPD(R) := \sup\{pd_R(M) \mid M \text{ is an injective } R\text{-module}\}.$ 

## Theorem (Hu, Lim, Zhou)

<sup>a</sup> An integral domain R is a G-Dedekind domain if and only if  $IPD(R) \leq 1$ .

<sup>a</sup>Hu, Kui; Lim, Jung Wook; Zhou, De Chuan, Flat dimensions of injective modules over domains, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. 57, No. 4, 1075-1081 (2020).

**1** A nonzero ideal *J* of *R* is called a Glaz-Vasconcelos ideal (GV-ideal) if *J* is finitely generated and the natural homomorphism  $\varphi : R \to \operatorname{Hom}_R(J, R)$  is an isomorphism.

- **1** A nonzero ideal *J* of *R* is called a Glaz-Vasconcelos ideal (GV-ideal) if *J* is finitely generated and the natural homomorphism  $\varphi : R \to \operatorname{Hom}_R(J, R)$  is an isomorphism.
- 2 Let *M* be an *R*-module. Then *M* is called GV-torsion-free if Jx = 0 with  $J \in GV(R)$  and  $x \in M$  implies x = 0, and *M* is called GV-torsion if for any  $x \in M$ , there exists  $J \in GV(R)$  with Jx = 0.

- **1** A nonzero ideal *J* of *R* is called a Glaz-Vasconcelos ideal (GV-ideal) if *J* is finitely generated and the natural homomorphism  $\varphi : R \to \operatorname{Hom}_R(J, R)$  is an isomorphism.
- 2 Let *M* be an *R*-module. Then *M* is called GV-torsion-free if Jx = 0 with  $J \in GV(R)$  and  $x \in M$  implies x = 0, and *M* is called GV-torsion if for any  $x \in M$ , there exists  $J \in GV(R)$  with Jx = 0.
- 3 For a GV-torsion-free *R*-module *M*, set

 $M_{w} = \{ x \in E(M) \mid Jx \subseteq M \text{ for some } J \in \mathrm{GV}(R) \},\$ 

where E(M) is the injective hull of M. Then  $M_w$  is called the *w*-envelope of M.

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

- **1** A nonzero ideal *J* of *R* is called a Glaz-Vasconcelos ideal (GV-ideal) if *J* is finitely generated and the natural homomorphism  $\varphi : R \to \operatorname{Hom}_R(J, R)$  is an isomorphism.
- 2 Let *M* be an *R*-module. Then *M* is called GV-torsion-free if Jx = 0 with  $J \in GV(R)$  and  $x \in M$  implies x = 0, and *M* is called GV-torsion if for any  $x \in M$ , there exists  $J \in GV(R)$  with Jx = 0.
- 3 For a GV-torsion-free *R*-module *M*, set

 $M_{w} = \{ x \in E(M) \, | \, Jx \subseteq M \text{ for some } J \in \mathrm{GV}(R) \},\$ 

where E(M) is the injective hull of M. Then  $M_w$  is called the *w*-envelope of M.

④ A GV-torsion-free *R*-module *M* is called a *w*-module over *R* if  $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}_{R}(R/J, M) = 0$  for any *J* ∈ GV(*R*), equivalently, if *M* = *M*<sub>w</sub>.

wa		

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

1 An ideal *I* of *R* is called a *w*-ideal (or semidivisorial ideal) if  $I = I_w$ .

	Kim

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

э

- 1 An ideal *I* of *R* is called a *w*-ideal (or semidivisorial ideal) if  $I = I_w$ .
- A nonzero ideal p of R is said to be a prime w-ideal if p is both a prime ideal and a w-ideal; a maximal w-ideal if p is maximal in the set of all proper w-ideals of R.

- 1 An ideal *I* of *R* is called a *w*-ideal (or semidivisorial ideal) if  $I = I_w$ .
- A nonzero ideal p of R is said to be a prime w-ideal if p is both a prime ideal and a w-ideal; a maximal w-ideal if p is maximal in the set of all proper w-ideals of R.
- **3** Let  $\mathcal{F}(R)$  denote the set of nonzero fractional ideals of *R*. Then the map *w* from  $\mathcal{F}(R)$  to  $\mathcal{F}(R)$ , given by *A* → *A*<sup>*w*</sup> for any *A* ∈  $\mathcal{F}(R)$ , is a star operation, which is called the *w*-operation on *R*.

- 1 An ideal *I* of *R* is called a *w*-ideal (or semidivisorial ideal) if  $I = I_w$ .
- A nonzero ideal p of R is said to be a prime w-ideal if p is both a prime ideal and a w-ideal; a maximal w-ideal if p is maximal in the set of all proper w-ideals of R.
- **③** Let  $\mathcal{F}(R)$  denote the set of nonzero fractional ideals of *R*. Then the map *w* from  $\mathcal{F}(R)$  to  $\mathcal{F}(R)$ , given by *A* → *A*<sup>*w*</sup> for any *A* ∈  $\mathcal{F}(R)$ , is a star operation, which is called the *w*-operation on *R*.
- 4 Note that the *w*-operation is of finite character and stable.

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

## Definition (w-locally G-projective)

An *R*-module *M* is called *w*-locally G-projective if  $M_m$  is G-projective for any maximal *w*-ideal m of *R*.

3

17/29

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

# Definition (w-locally G-projective)

An *R*-module *M* is called *w*-locally G-projective if  $M_m$  is G-projective for any maximal *w*-ideal *m* of *R*.

## Definition

A Noetherian ring *R* is said to be Gorenstein if id<sub>R<sub>m</sub></sub> R<sub>m</sub> < ∞ for any maximal ideal m of *R*.

<sup>a</sup>Qiao, L., Wang, F.G.: A half-centered star-operation on an integral domain. J. Korean Math. Soc. 54(1), 35–57 (2017).

# Definition (w-locally G-projective)

An *R*-module *M* is called *w*-locally G-projective if  $M_m$  is G-projective for any maximal *w*-ideal *m* of *R*.

# Definition

- **1** A Noetherian ring *R* is said to be Gorenstein if  $id_{R_m} R_m < \infty$  for any maximal ideal m of *R*.
- a A domain R is called a G-Krull domain if R satisfies the following three conditions:
  - (i) For each prime ideal p of *R* of height one,  $R_p$  is a Gorenstein ring.
  - (ii)  $R = \bigcap R_p$ , where p ranges over all prime ideals of *R* of height one.
  - (iii) Any nonzero element of *R* lies in only a finite number of prime ideals of height one

<sup>a</sup>Qiao, L., Wang, F.G.: A half-centered star-operation on an integral domain. J. Korean Math. Soc. 54(1), 35–57 (2017).

イロン イヨン イヨン

#### Lemma

<sup>a</sup> Let S be a multiplicative subset of R, M be an R-module, and N be an  $R_{\rm S}$ -module. Then the natural  $R_{\rm S}$ -homomorphism

 $\theta$ : Hom<sub>*R*</sub>(*M*, *N*)  $\rightarrow$  Hom<sub>*R*<sub>S</sub></sub>(*M*<sub>S</sub>, *N*)

is an isomorphism.

<sup>a</sup>Wang, F.G., Kim, H.: Two generalizations of projective modules and their applications. J. Pure Appl. Algebra 219, 2099–2123 (2015).

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Lemma

<sup>a</sup> Let S be a multiplicative subset of R, M be an R-module, and N be an  $R_{\rm S}$ -module. Then the natural  $R_{\rm S}$ -homomorphism

 $\theta$ : Hom<sub>*R*</sub>(*M*, *N*)  $\rightarrow$  Hom<sub>*R*<sub>S</sub></sub>(*M*<sub>S</sub>, *N*)

is an isomorphism.

<sup>a</sup>Wang, F.G., Kim, H.: Two generalizations of projective modules and their applications. J. Pure Appl. Algebra 219, 2099–2123 (2015).

#### Lemma

Let S be a multiplicative subset of R, M be an R-module, and N be an  $R_{s}$ -module. Then the natural  $R_{s}$ -homomorphism

 $\theta : \operatorname{Ext}^{1}_{R}(M, N) \to \operatorname{Ext}_{R_{S}}(M_{S}, N)$ 

is an isomorphism.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

• A domain R is called strong Mori (for short, SM) if R satisfies the ascending chain condition on w-ideals of R.

э

Image: A math a math

• A domain *R* is called strong Mori (for short, SM) if *R* satisfies the ascending chain condition on *w*-ideals of *R*.

# Lemma (Characterization of SM domains)

A domain R is an SM domain if and only if  $R_m$  is a Noetherian domain for any maximal w-ideal m of R, and each nonzero element of R lies in only finitely many maximal w-ideals of R.

<sup>a</sup>The following statements are equivalent for a domain R.

**1** *R* is an SM domain and  $id_R R_m \leq 1$  for any maximal *w*-ideal *m* of *R*.

<sup>a</sup>(ZKH)

Hwa		

20/29

Image: Image:

<sup>a</sup>The following statements are equivalent for a domain R.

- **1** *R* is an SM domain and  $id_R R_m \leq 1$  for any maximal w-ideal m of *R*.
- **2** *R* is an SM domain and  $id_{R_m}R_m \leq 1$  for any maximal w-ideal m of R.

<sup>a</sup>(ZKH)

	koo	

20/29

<sup>a</sup>The following statements are equivalent for a domain R.

- **1** *R* is an SM domain and  $id_R R_m \leq 1$  for any maximal w-ideal m of *R*.
- 2 *R* is an SM domain and  $id_{R_m}R_m \leq 1$  for any maximal *w*-ideal *m* of *R*.
- **3** *R* is an SM domain and every *w*-ideal of *R* is *w*-locally *G*-projective.

<sup>a</sup>The following statements are equivalent for a domain R.

- **1** *R* is an SM domain and  $id_R R_m \leq 1$  for any maximal w-ideal m of *R*.
- **2** *R* is an SM domain and  $id_{R_m}R_m \leq 1$  for any maximal w-ideal m of R.
- **8** R is an SM domain and every w-ideal of R is w-locally G-projective.
- A r is an SM domain and every prime w-ideal of R is w-locally G-projective.

<sup>a</sup>The following statements are equivalent for a domain R.

- **1** *R* is an SM domain and  $id_R R_m \leq 1$  for any maximal w-ideal m of R.
- **2** *R* is an SM domain and  $id_{R_m}R_m \leq 1$  for any maximal w-ideal m of R.
- 3 R is an SM domain and every w-ideal of R is w-locally G-projective.
- A R is an SM domain and every prime w-ideal of R is w-locally G-projective.
- 6 R<sub>m</sub> is a G-Dedekind domain for any maximal w-ideal m of R, and each nonzero element of R lies in only finitely many maximal w-ideals of R.

<sup>a</sup>The following statements are equivalent for a domain R.

- **1** *R* is an SM domain and  $id_R R_m \leq 1$  for any maximal w-ideal m of R.
- **2** *R* is an SM domain and  $id_{R_m}R_m \leq 1$  for any maximal w-ideal m of R.
- 3 R is an SM domain and every w-ideal of R is w-locally G-projective.
- A R is an SM domain and every prime w-ideal of R is w-locally G-projective.
- 6 R<sub>m</sub> is a G-Dedekind domain for any maximal w-ideal m of R, and each nonzero element of R lies in only finitely many maximal w-ideals of R.
- 6 R is a G-Krull domain.

1 A domain *R* is said to be *t*-almost Dedekind if  $R_m$  is a discrete valuation ring for each maximal *t*-ideal (or *w*-ideal) m of *R*.

イロト イヨト イヨト

- **1** A domain *R* is said to be *t*-almost Dedekind if  $R_m$  is a discrete valuation ring for each maximal *t*-ideal (or *w*-ideal) m of *R*.
- 2 Note that a domain R is a Krull domain if and only if R is a t-almost Dedekind domain and R is an SM domain.

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

- **1** A domain *R* is said to be *t*-almost Dedekind if  $R_m$  is a discrete valuation ring for each maximal *t*-ideal (or *w*-ideal) m of *R*.
- 2 Note that a domain R is a Krull domain if and only if R is a t-almost Dedekind domain and R is an SM domain.

# Example (*w*-locally G-Dedekind domain but not of *w*-finite character)

Let *R* be a non-Krull *t*-almost Dedekind domain<sup>*a*</sup>. Then, for any maximal *w*-ideal m of *R*,  $R_m$  is a discrete valuation ring, thus a G-Dedekind domain. However, *R* does not satisfy that each nonzero element of *R* lies in only finitely many maximal *w*-ideals of *R*. If not, we would get that *R* is an SM domain by Lemma 3. Thus, *R* would be a Krull domain, a contradiction.

<sup>a</sup>Kang, B.G.: Prüfer *v*-multiplication domains and the ring  $R[X]_{N_{\nu}}$ . J. Algebra 123, 151–170 (1989).

・ロット ( 母 ) ・ ヨ ) ・ ヨ )

#### Lemma

<sup>a</sup> Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a local Noetherian domain,  $\mathfrak{p}$  a prime ideal of R with  $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$ , and M a finitely generated R-module. If  $\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{i+1}(R/Q, M) = 0$  for any prime ideal Q properly containing  $\mathfrak{p}$ , then  $\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{i}(R/\mathfrak{p}, M) = 0$ .

<sup>a</sup>Kaplansky, I.: Commutative Rings. Allyn and Bacon, Boston, Mass (1970).

#### Lemma

<sup>a</sup> Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a local Noetherian domain,  $\mathfrak{p}$  a prime ideal of R with  $\mathfrak{p} \subsetneq \mathfrak{m}$ , and M a finitely generated R-module. If  $\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{i+1}(R/Q, M) = 0$  for any prime ideal Q properly containing  $\mathfrak{p}$ , then  $\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{i}(R/\mathfrak{p}, M) = 0$ .

<sup>a</sup>Kaplansky, I.: Commutative Rings. Allyn and Bacon, Boston, Mass (1970).

#### Theorem

Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a local Noetherian domain. If  $\mathfrak{m}$  is a G-projective R-module, then R is a G-Dedekind domain.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

If R is a Noetherian domain and every maximal ideal of R is G-projective, then R is G-Dedekind.

(D) < ((()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) <

э

23/29

If R is a Noetherian domain and every maximal ideal of R is G-projective, then R is G-Dedekind.

Theorem (Characterization of G-Krull domains)

The following statements are equivalent for a domain R.

- 1 R is a G-Krull domain.
- R is an SM domain and every maximal w-ideal of R is w-locally G-projective.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

If R is a Noetherian domain and every maximal ideal of R is G-projective, then R is G-Dedekind.

# Theorem (Characterization of G-Krull domains)

The following statements are equivalent for a domain R.

- 1 R is a G-Krull domain.
- R is an SM domain and every maximal w-ideal of R is w-locally G-projective.

## Definition

A GV-torsion-free *R*-module *M* is called a strong *w*-module if  $\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{i}(N, M) = 0$  for each  $i \ge 1$  and any GV-torsion *R*-module *N*.

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

If R is a Noetherian domain and every maximal ideal of R is G-projective, then R is G-Dedekind.

# Theorem (Characterization of G-Krull domains)

The following statements are equivalent for a domain R.

- 1 R is a G-Krull domain.
- R is an SM domain and every maximal w-ideal of R is w-locally G-projective.

## Definition

A GV-torsion-free *R*-module *M* is called a strong *w*-module if  $\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{i}(N, M) = 0$  for each  $i \ge 1$  and any GV-torsion *R*-module *N*.

# LemmaIf $id_R R \leq 1$ , then R is a strong w-module. $\Box \triangleright ( \Box \triangleright ( \Box \triangleright ( \Xi \triangleright ( \Xi \triangleright ( \Xi \circ ) C \Box \circ ) ) ) ) Hwankoo KimGorenstein Dedekind DomainsJuly 23, 202523/29$

TFAE for a domain R with quotient field K.

**1** *R* is an SM domain and  $id_R R \le 1$ .

	koo	

TFAE for a domain R with quotient field K.

- **1** *R* is an SM domain and  $id_R R \le 1$ .
- **2** *R* is a Noetherian domain and  $id_R R \le 1$ .

wan	

24/29

< 3 >

- **1** *R* is an SM domain and  $id_R R \le 1$ .
- **2** *R* is a Noetherian domain and  $id_R R \le 1$ .
- **3** *R* is an SM domain and  $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}_{R}(M, R) = 0$  for any submodule M of a free module.

- **1** *R* is an SM domain and  $id_R R \le 1$ .
- **2** *R* is a Noetherian domain and  $id_R R \le 1$ .
- **3** *R* is an SM domain and  $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}_{R}(M, R) = 0$  for any submodule M of a free module.
- **4** *R* is an SM domain, K/R is a w-module, and  $\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{1}(M, R) = 0$  for any finite type submodule M of a free module.

TFAE for a domain R with quotient field K.

- **1** *R* is an SM domain and  $id_R R \le 1$ .
- **2** *R* is a Noetherian domain and  $id_R R \le 1$ .
- **3** *R* is an SM domain and  $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}_{R}(M, R) = 0$  for any submodule M of a free module.
- **4** *R* is an SM domain, K/R is a w-module, and  $\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{1}(M, R) = 0$  for any finite type submodule M of a free module.

**6** *R* is an SM domain, K/R is a w-module, and  $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}_{R}(I, R) = 0$  for any *w*-ideal *I* of *R*.

24/29

- **1** *R* is an SM domain and  $id_R R \le 1$ .
- **2** *R* is a Noetherian domain and  $id_R R \le 1$ .
- **3** *R* is an SM domain and  $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}_{R}(M, R) = 0$  for any submodule M of a free module.
- **4** *R* is an SM domain, K/R is a w-module, and  $\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{1}(M, R) = 0$  for any finite type submodule M of a free module.
- **6** *R* is an SM domain, K/R is a w-module, and  $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}_{R}(I, R) = 0$  for any w-ideal I of R.
- **6** *R* is an SM domain and  $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}_{R}(I, R) = 0$  for any ideal I of R.

- **1** *R* is an SM domain and  $id_R R \le 1$ .
- **2** *R* is a Noetherian domain and  $id_R R \le 1$ .
- **3** *R* is an SM domain and  $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}_{R}(M, R) = 0$  for any submodule M of a free module.
- **4** *R* is an SM domain, K/R is a w-module, and  $\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{1}(M, R) = 0$  for any finite type submodule M of a free module.
- **6** *R* is an SM domain, K/R is a w-module, and  $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}_{R}(I, R) = 0$  for any w-ideal I of R.
- **6** *R* is an SM domain and  $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}_{R}(I, R) = 0$  for any ideal I of R.
- 7 R is an SM domain and any nonzero ideal I of R is a v-ideal.

- **1** *R* is an SM domain and  $id_R R \le 1$ .
- **2** *R* is a Noetherian domain and  $id_R R \le 1$ .
- **3** *R* is an SM domain and  $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}_{R}(M, R) = 0$  for any submodule M of a free module.
- **4** *R* is an SM domain, K/R is a w-module, and  $\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{1}(M, R) = 0$  for any finite type submodule M of a free module.
- **6** *R* is an SM domain, K/R is a w-module, and  $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}_{R}(I, R) = 0$  for any w-ideal I of R.
- **6** *R* is an SM domain and  $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}_{R}(I, R) = 0$  for any ideal I of R.
- 7 R is an SM domain and any nonzero ideal I of R is a v-ideal.
- 8 R is a G-Dedekind domain.

**Question**. The authors raised the question of whether every SG-Dedekind domain is necessarily a Dedekind domain.<sup>*a*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>(HKWXZ) K. Hu, H. Kim, F. G. Wang, L. Y. Xu, and D. C. Zhou, On strongly Gorenstein hereditary rings, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. 56(2) (2019), 373–382.

**Question**. The authors raised the question of whether every SG-Dedekind domain is necessarily a Dedekind domain.<sup>*a*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>(HKWXZ) K. Hu, H. Kim, F. G. Wang, L. Y. Xu, and D. C. Zhou, On strongly Gorenstein hereditary rings, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. 56(2) (2019), 373–382.

Definition (strongly Gorenstein Dedekind domains)

An *R*-module *M* is called strongly Gorenstein projective (or SG-projective, for short) if and only if there exists a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow P \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0,$$

where *P* is a projective *R*-module, and  $\text{Hom}_{R}(-, Q)$  leaves the sequence exact for every projective *R*-module *Q*. Let SG denote the class of SG-projective *R*-modules.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

**Question**. The authors raised the question of whether every SG-Dedekind domain is necessarily a Dedekind domain.<sup>*a*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>(HKWXZ) K. Hu, H. Kim, F. G. Wang, L. Y. Xu, and D. C. Zhou, On strongly Gorenstein hereditary rings, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. 56(2) (2019), 373–382.

Definition (strongly Gorenstein Dedekind domains)

An *R*-module *M* is called strongly Gorenstein projective (or SG-projective, for short) if and only if there exists a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow P \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0,$$

where *P* is a projective *R*-module, and  $\text{Hom}_{R}(-, Q)$  leaves the sequence exact for every projective *R*-module *Q*. Let SG denote the class of SG-projective *R*-modules.

A domain *R* is called an SG-Dedekind domain if every submodule of any projective *R*-module is SG-projective.

wan	

## Definition (Dimension related to SG)

Let n be a non-negative integer and let M be an R-module.

 We say that *M* has projective dimension with respect to SG (or SG-projective dimension) at most *n*, denoted by pd<sub>SG</sub>M ≤ n, if there exists a projective resolution

$$\cdots \longrightarrow P_n \xrightarrow{d_n} P_{n-1} \xrightarrow{d_{n-1}} \cdots \longrightarrow P_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} P_0 \xrightarrow{d_0} M \longrightarrow 0$$

such that  $\text{Im}(d_n) \in SG$ . If no such *n* exists, we define  $pd_{SG}M = \infty$ . If such an *n* exists and is minimal, we set  $pd_{SG}M = n$ .

# Definition (Dimension related to SG)

Let n be a non-negative integer and let M be an R-module.

 We say that *M* has projective dimension with respect to SG (or SG-projective dimension) at most *n*, denoted by pd<sub>SG</sub>M ≤ n, if there exists a projective resolution

$$\cdots \longrightarrow P_n \xrightarrow{d_n} P_{n-1} \xrightarrow{d_{n-1}} \cdots \longrightarrow P_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} P_0 \xrightarrow{d_0} M \longrightarrow 0$$

such that  $Im(d_n) \in SG$ . If no such *n* exists, we define  $pd_{SG}M = \infty$ . If such an *n* exists and is minimal, we set  $pd_{SG}M = n$ .

2 The global dimension with respect to SG of R, denoted by gl<sub>SG</sub> dim(R), is defined as the supremum of the SG-projective dimensions of all R-modules:

 $gl_{SG} \dim(R) = \sup\{pd_{SG}M \mid M \text{ is an } R \text{-module}\}.$ 

・ロン ・回 と ・ ヨ と ・

<sup>a</sup> Let R be a domain. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

1 R is an SG-Dedekind domain.

<sup>a</sup>(CHKQW)

Example

	00	

27/29

・ロッ ・雪 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・

<sup>a</sup> Let R be a domain. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

1 R is an SG-Dedekind domain.

**2**  $gl_{SG} \dim(R) \leq 1$ .

<sup>a</sup>(CHKQW)

#### Example

э

27/29

<sup>a</sup> Let R be a domain. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1 R is an SG-Dedekind domain.
- **2**  $gl_{SG} \dim(R) \leq 1$ .
- 3 Every ideal I of R is SG-projective.

<sup>a</sup>(CHKQW)

# Example

э

・ロ・・ (日・・ 日・・ 日・・

<sup>a</sup> Let R be a domain. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- R is an SG-Dedekind domain.
- **2**  $gl_{SG} \dim(R) \leq 1$ .
- 3 Every ideal I of R is SG-projective.

<sup>a</sup>(CHKQW)

#### Example

● Let p be a prime number, and let R := Z + pZi. Then R is not a Dedekind domain. However, it is shown in [HKWXZ, Example 3.4] that every ideal of R is SG-projective.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

<sup>a</sup> Let R be a domain. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- R is an SG-Dedekind domain.
- **2**  $gl_{SG} \dim(R) \leq 1$ .
- 3 Every ideal I of R is SG-projective.

<sup>a</sup>(CHKQW)

#### Example

● Let p be a prime number, and let R := Z + pZi. Then R is not a Dedekind domain. However, it is shown in [HKWXZ, Example 3.4] that every ideal of R is SG-projective.

**2** Let *p* be a prime number,  $R := \mathbb{Z} + p\mathbb{Z}i$ , and let  $S := R_P$ , where P = (p, pi). It is shown in [HKWXZ, Example 3.5] that every ideal of *S* is SG-projective.

・ロン ・回 と ・ ヨ と ・

#### **Open Questions**

- In an integral domain, the notions of an ideal being projective and being invertible are equivalent. Is there an invertibility property that is equivalent to being G-projective or SG-projective?
- 2 Dedekind domains are characterized by the fact that every nonzero ideal can be expressed as a product of prime ideals. Can G-Dedekind domains or SG-Dedekind domains be characterized in a similar way?
- Investigate if and how integral closure behaves differently for G-Dedekind domains compared to classical Dedekind domains.

<sup>a</sup>A. Geroldinger, H. Kim, A. Loper, On Long-Term Problems in Multiplicative Ideal Theory and Factorization Theory, to appear in Contemporary Mathematics Series of AMS

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

# The End

# Thank you for your attention. Questions or Comments?