Conference on Rings and Polynomials July 14 - 19, 2025 TU Graz, Graz, Austria

On invertible-radical factorization in commutative rings

Youssef ZAHIR

University Mohamed V in Rabat Faculty of Sciences of Rabat Department of Mathematics Morocco.

Joint work with M. T. Ahmed and N. Mahdou

On invertible-radical factorization.

Introduction

- ISP-rings
- Strongly ISP-rings
- Perspectives and references

A B A A B A

- General context: All rings are commutative with a nonzero unit and all modules are unitary.
- T. Dumitrescu and M. T. Ahmed introduced and studied the notion of an ISP-domain, that is, integral domain whose ideals can be factored as an invertible ideal times a nonempty product of proper radical ideals (this terminology comes from "invertible semiprime ideal")
- Our aim is to extend the ISP-domain concept to rings with zero-divisors in two different ways.

Definition

A is said to be an ISP-ring if every proper regular ideal of A can be factored as an invertible ideal times a nonempty product of proper radical ideals.

Proposition

Let *B* be a finite direct product of some family of rings $(A_i)_{i=1,...,n}$. Then *B* is an ISP-ring if and only if each A_i is an ISP-ring.

The trivial ring extension of a ring *A* by an *A*-module *E* (also called the idealization of *E* over *A*) is the ring $A \propto E$ whose underlying group is $A \times E$ with multiplication given by

$$(a, e)(b, f) = (ab, af + be).$$

For more details on trivial ring extensions, we refer the reader to Glaz's and Huckaba's respective books.

< 同 ト < 三 ト < 三 ト

Proposition

Let $f : A \longrightarrow B$ be a surjective ring homomorphism such that Ker(f) is a prime ideal of A. Assume that every regular ideal of B can be lifted via f to a regular ideal of A. If A is an ISP-ring, then so is B.

Remark

Note that the condition "*Ker*(*f*) is a prime ideal" is necessary. Indeed, let (*A*, *M*) be a non-Pr"ufer local domain, K = qf(A) and $R = A \propto K$. By Corollary 2.8 and [2, Theorem 5], *R* is not an ISP-ring. Now, let *E* be a nonzero vector space over $\frac{R}{M \propto K}$ and $T = R \propto E$. Clearly, *T* is an ISP-ring, but $\frac{T}{0 \propto E}$ ($\simeq R$) is not an ISP-ring.

< 日 > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > <

Let *P* be a prime ideal of a ring *A*. Denote by $A_{(P)} = \{a/b \in T(A) | a \in A, b \in A \setminus P \text{ and } b \text{ is regular}\}$ the regular localization of *A* at *P*. Here *T*(*A*) denotes the total quotient ring of *A*.

Proposition

Let *A* be an ISP-ring and *S* a multiplicatively closed set which consists only of regular elements. Then A_S is an ISP-ring (in particular, $A_{(P)}$ is an ISP-ring, where *P* is a prime ideal of *A*).

Theorem

Let *A* be a ring and *E* an *A*-module such that E = sE for every $s \in S = A \setminus (Z(A) \cup Z(E))$. Then $A \propto E$ is an ISP-ring if and only if every proper ideal of *A* not disjoint to *S* can be factored as an invertible ideal times a nonempty product of proper radical ideals.

Corollary

Let *A* be an integral domain with quotient field *K*, *E* a *K*-vector space, and $R = A \propto E$. Then *R* is an ISP-ring if and only if *A* is an ISP-domain.

Example

Let $R = \mathbb{Z} \propto \mathbb{Q}$ be a trivial ring extension of \mathbb{Z} by \mathbb{Z} -module \mathbb{Q} . By Corollary, R is an ISP-ring.

The amalgamated duplication of a ring *A* along an ideal *I*, introduced and studied by D'Anna and denoted by $A \bowtie I$, is the following subring of $A \times A$ (endowed with the usual componentwise operations):

$$A \bowtie I = \{(a, a+i) | a \in A \text{ and } i \in I\}.$$

Note that if $I^2 = 0$, then this construction $A \bowtie I$ coincides with the trivial ring extension $A \propto I$.

Next, we present our main result about the transfer of the ISP-ring property to amalgamated duplication of a ring along an ideal.

Theorem

Let A be a ring and I an ideal of A. Then:

- If $A \bowtie I$ is an ISP-ring then so is A.
- ② Assume that I = aI for each $a \in Reg(A)$. Then $A \bowtie I$ is an ISP-ring if and only if so is *A*.

To prove theorem, we need the followings lemmas.

Lemma

Let *A* be a ring and *I* an ideal of *A*. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- Every regular ideal of $A \bowtie I$ has the form $H \bowtie I$, where H is a regular ideal of A.
- **2** I = aI for every $a \in Reg(A)$.

Lemma

Let *A* be a ring and *I*, *J* two ideals of *A*. If $J \bowtie I$ is invertible, then so is *J*.

Lemma

Let *A* be a ring and *I*, *J* two ideals of *A*. If *J* is regular finitely generated and I = aI for each $a \in Reg(A)$, then $J \bowtie I$ is a finitely generated ideal of $A \bowtie I$.

Example

Let $A = \mathbb{Z} \propto \mathbb{Q}$ be a trivial ring extension of \mathbb{Z} by \mathbb{Z} -module \mathbb{Q} and $I = 0 \propto \mathbb{Q}$ an ideal of A. Then $A \bowtie I$ is an ISP-ring. Indeed, by the previous Example, A is an ISP-ring. Since I = (n, q)I for each $(n, q) \in Reg(A)$, therefore $A \bowtie I$ is an ISP ring by the above Theorem.

Remark

We cannot obtain nontrivial examples of ISP-rings $A \bowtie I$ starting with a total quotient ring A. Indeed, if A is a total quotient ring, then so is $A \bowtie I$ (and hence it is an ISP-ring). To see this, if $(a, b) \in A \bowtie I$ is a regular element, then a, b are regular elements in A (hence units) and $a - b \in I$. Let aa' = 1 and bb' = 1 with $a', b' \in A$. Then $a' - b' \in I$, so $(a', b') \in A \bowtie I$.

・ ロ ト ・ 同 ト ・ 目 ト ・ 目 ト

Definition

We call *A* a strongly ISP-ring if every proper ideal of *A* can be factored as an invertible ideal times a nonempty product of proper radical ideals.

Clearly, strongly ISP-domains are exactly ISP-domains. ZPUI and von Neumann regular rings are trivial examples of strongly ISP-rings. Note that every strongly ISP-ring is an ISP-ring. The converse is not true in general, as the following example shows.

Example

Let (A, M) be a local ring which is not reduced and E a nonzero A-module such that ME = 0. Then $A \propto E$ is an ISP-ring which is not strongly ISP. Indeed, clearly $A \propto E$ is a total quotient ring and hence an ISP-ring. Now, assume that $0 \propto E = (J \propto E)(H_1 \propto E) \cdots (H_n \propto E)$ with $J \propto E$ an invertible ideal, $n \ge 1$ and all $H_i \propto E's$ are proper radical ideals. If n = 1 we get $JH_1 = 0$ and hence $H_1 = 0$ since J is an invertible ideal of A, a contradiction. If n > 1 we get E = 0, again a contradiction.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Proposition

The following assertions hold:

- If A is a strongly ISP-ring and P a prime ideal of A, then A/P is an ISP-domain.
- If S is a multiplicatively closed set of a strongly ISP-ring A, then A_S is a strongly ISP-ring.
- 3 A finite direct product of some family of rings $(A_i)_{i=1,...,n}$ is a strongly ISP-ring if and only if each A_i is a strongly ISP-ring.

Recall that a ring A is called special primary if $Spec(A) = \{M\}$ and each proper ideal of A is a power of M. Note that zero-dimensional rings are total quotient, that is, they have no non-unit regular element.

Proposition

Let A be a zero-dimensional local strongly ISP-ring with maximal ideal M. Then A is special primary.

Strongly ISP-rings

Recall that an almost multiplication ring is a ring whose localizations at its prime ideals are discrete rank one valuation domains or special primary rings.

Theorem

Let *A* be a strongly ISP-ring such that every nonzero prime ideal of *A* is maximal. Then *A* is almost multiplication.

Recall that a ring *A* is ZPI if every proper ideal of *A* is a product of prime ideals.

Corollary

For a ring *A* the following assertions are equivalent.

- A is a ZPI-ring.
- A is a Noetherian SSP-ring.
- A is a Noetherian strongly ISP-ring.

Theorem

Let A be a ring and E an A-module

- If $A \propto E$ is a strongly ISP-ring, then so is A.
- If *A* is a von Neumann regular ring and *E* is a multiplication Amodule, then $A \propto E$ is a strongly ISP-ring.
- ③ If $A \propto E$ is a strongly ISP-ring and E = sE for each $s \in S$, then *E* is a multiplication module, where $S = A \setminus (Z(A) \cup Z(E))$.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

We get the following result, where Supp(E) denotes the support of an *A*-module *E*.

Theorem

Let $A \propto E$ be a strongly ISP-ring in which every prime ideal is maximal. Then for each maximal ideal $M \in Supp(E)$, A_M is a field and $E_M \simeq A_M$.

Recall that an *A*-module *E* is simple if it has no proper nonzero submodule. Moreover, *E* is called divisible if for every regular element $a \in A$ and for every $e \in E$ there exists $e' \in E$ such that e = ae'. Equivalently, E = aE for every regular element $a \in A$.

Proposition

Let *A* be an integral domain and *E* a divisible *A*-module. Then $A \propto E$ is a strongly ISP-ring if and only if *A* is an ISP-domain and *E* a simple *A*-module.

Remark

In general, $A \propto E$ need not be a strongly ISP-ring. Indeed, let *A* be an ISP-domain, K = qf(A) and *E* a *K*-vector space such that $dim_{K}(E) > 1$. By Proposition, $A \propto E$ is not a strongly ISP-ring.

The following result studies the strongly ISP-ring property for amalgamated duplication ring $A \bowtie I$.

Theorem

Let A be a ring and I an ideal of A.

- If $A \bowtie I$ is a strongly ISP-ring, then so is A.
- 2 If I is a finitely generated idempotent ideal of A, then $A \bowtie I$ is a strongly ISP-ring if and only if so is A.

• • • • • • • • • • • •

We conclude by giving an example of a ring *A* that is a strongly ISP-ring while $A \bowtie I$ is not.

Example

Let *F* be a field, $A = F \propto F$ and $I = 0 \propto F$ an ideal of *A*. Then *A* is a strongly ISP-ring, by the previous Proposition. Notice that $A \bowtie I \simeq A \propto I$. Hence, by the Example given before, $A \bowtie I$ is not a strongly ISP-ring since *A* is not a reduced ring.

To end this talk, we present some perspectives:

- Extend the study of ISP-rings to the general framework of amalgamated rings along an ideal.
- Investigate both ISP-rings and strongly ISP-rings in the context of graded ring theory.

4 **A b b b b b b**

D.D. Anderson and M. Winders, *Idealization of a module*. J. Comm. Algebra 1 (2009), 3-56.

- M. DAnna, C. A. Finacchiaro, and M. Fontana. Amalgamated algebras along an ideal. Comm Algebra and Aplications, Walter De Gruyter (2009), 241-252.
- M. D'Anna and M. Fontana, An amalgamated duplication of a ring along an ideal The basic properties, J. Algebra Appl. 6 (2007) 443-459.
- J.A. Huckaba, Commutative Rings with Zero Divisors, New York: Marcel Dekker, Inc., (1988).
- B. Olberding, Factorization into prime and invertible ideals II, J. London Math. Soc. 80 (2009), 155-170.
- N. Vaughan and R. Yeagy, Factoring ideals into semiprime ideals, Can. J. Math. 30 (1978) 1313-1318.

3

< 日 > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > <

Thank You So Much!

TH 16

< 6 b